## Comparison of the Four Leading Small Generator Interconnection Procedures

Jason B. Keyes & Kevin T. Fox Interstate Renewable Energy Council Solar ABCs meeting - San Diego, CA Oct. 17, 2008





## What the report does

- Reviews the leading distributed generation interconnection procedures used as models by state regulators:
  - FERC's Small Generator Interconnection Procedures
  - California's Rule 21
  - MADRI's Model Small Generator Interconnection
    Procedures (Mid-Atlantic Demand Resource Initiative)
  - IREC Model Interconnection Standards
- Grades these four procedures using 16 "best practices" criteria used for state procedures analysis in *Freeing the Grid 2008*, with point-by-point discussion
- Recommends approach for state regulators to use to develop procedures



## Freeing the Grid Criteria

- 1. Eligible technologies
- 2. System capacity
- 3. Breakpoints
- 4. Timelines
- 5. Interconnection charges
- 6. Engineering charges
- 7. External disconnect switch
- 8. Certification process

- 9. Technical screens
- 10. Spot networks
- 11. Area networks
- 12. Standard form agreement
- 13. Insurance requirement
- 14. Dispute resolution process
- 15. Rule coverage
- 16. Miscellaneous



## **Conclusions & Recommendation**

- All four score well on key criteria eligible technologies, system capacity, standard form agreements
- All but FERC score well for insurance
- CA Rule 21 scores best on fees
- IREC Procedures score higher on network interconnections, dispute resolution, disconnect switch, breakpoints (non-exporter category)
- All four are functional; IREC Procedures get an "A", CA Rule 21 gets a "B", other two get "C"s
- Recommends any of the four as starting point, then modify using *Freeing the Grid* criteria for guidance



- This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FC36-07GO17034
- Report will be available in late October at <u>www.solarabcs.org/interconnection</u>
- Inquiries? jkeyes@keyesandfox.com or kfox@keyesandfox.com

